

**$\pi NN^*(1440)$ AND $\sigma NN^*(1440)$ COUPLING CONSTANTS FROM
A MICROSCOPIC $NN \rightarrow NN^*(1440)$ POTENTIAL**

P. GONZÁLEZ

Dpto. de Física Teórica and IFIC

Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, E-46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

E-mail: pedro.gonzalez@uv.es

B. JULIÁ-DÍAZ, A. VALCARCE, F. FERNÁNDEZ

Grupo de Física Nuclear

Universidad de Salamanca, E-37008 Salamanca, Spain

E-mail: bjulia@usal.es, valcarce@mozart.usal.es, fdz@usal.es

The $N^*(1440)$, or Roper resonance, plays an important role in nucleon and nuclear dynamics as an intermediate state. The excitation of a Roper may mediate pion electro- and photoproduction processes¹, pion and double pion production in NN reactions^{2,3}, heavy ion collisions⁴, etc. In this context, the knowledge of the $NN \rightarrow NN^*(1440)$ interaction and the $\pi NN^*(1440)$ and $\sigma NN^*(1440)$ coupling constants should be of great help.

The usual way to determine meson- NN coupling constants is through the fitting of NN scattering data with phenomenological meson exchange models. Therefore, a consistent way to obtain meson- $NN^*(1440)$ coupling constants is from a transition $NN \rightarrow NN^*(1440)$ potential, in particular when ratios over meson- NN coupling constants are to be considered. In order to derive the transition potential we shall follow the same quark model approach previously used for NN scattering^{5,6}, this is we shall start from a quark-quark (qq) interaction containing confinement, one-gluon exchange (OGE), one-pion exchange (OPE) and one-sigma exchange (OSE) terms and carry out a Born-Oppenheimer approximation. The quark treatment presents two main advantages, on the one hand once the parameters of the qq potential are fixed from NN data, there is not any free parameter, on the other hand it allows all the baryon-baryon interactions to be dynamically considered on an equal footing (actually the same framework has been applied to the Δ excitation case⁷).

Explicitly, the $NN \rightarrow NN^*(1440)$ potential at interbaryon distance R is obtained by sandwiching the qq potential, V_{qq} , between NN and $NN^*(1440)$ states, written in terms of quarks, for all the pairs formed by two quarks belonging to different baryons. The qq potential has been very much detailed elsewhere^{5,6}. It reads:

$$V_{qq}(\vec{r}_{ij}) = V_{CON}(\vec{r}_{ij}) + V_{OGE}(\vec{r}_{ij}) + V_{OPE}(\vec{r}_{ij}) + V_{OSE}(\vec{r}_{ij}) \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{r}_{ij}$ is the interquark distance. V_{CON} is the confining potential taken to be linear (r_{ij}) and V_{OGE} is the usual perturbative one-gluon-exchange interaction containing Coulomb ($\frac{1}{r_{ij}}$), spin-spin ($\vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j$) and tensor (S_{ij}) terms. For future purposes we detail the central part of the one-pion, V_{OPE} , and one-sigma, V_{OSE} , exchange interactions given by:

$$V_{OPE}(\vec{r}_{ij}) = \frac{\alpha_{ch}}{3} \frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 - m_\pi^2} m_\pi \left[Y(m_\pi r_{ij}) - \frac{\Lambda^3}{m_\pi^3} Y(\Lambda r_{ij}) \right] \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j \vec{\tau}_i \cdot \vec{\tau}_j, \quad (2)$$

$$V_{OSE}(\vec{r}_{ij}) = -\alpha_{ch} \frac{4m_q^2}{m_\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 - m_\sigma^2} m_\sigma \left[Y(m_\sigma r_{ij}) - \frac{\Lambda}{m_\sigma} Y(\Lambda r_{ij}) \right], \quad (3)$$

where α_{ch} is the chiral coupling constant and Λ is a cutoff parameter. The values chosen for the parameters are taken from Ref.⁶.

The $N^*(1440)$ and N states are given in terms of quarks by $|N^*(1440)\rangle = \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |[3](0s)^2(1s)\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |[3](0s)(0p)^2 \rangle \right\} \otimes [1^3]_c$ and $|N\rangle = |[3](0s)^3\rangle \otimes [1^3]_c$ where $[1^3]_c$ is the completely antisymmetric color state, $[3]$ is the completely symmetric spin-isospin state and $0s$, $1s$, and $0p$, stand for harmonic oscillator orbitals.

The transition potential obtained can be written at all distances in terms of baryonic degrees of freedom⁸. One should realize that a qq spin and isospin independent potential as for instance the scalar OSE, gives rise at the baryon level, apart from a spin-isospin independent potential, to a spin-spin, an isospin-isospin and a spin-isospin dependent interactions⁵. Nonetheless for distances $R \geq 4$ fm, where quark antisymmetrization interbaryon effects vanish, we are only left with the direct part, i.e. with a scalar OSE at the baryon level. The same kind of arguments can be applied to the OPE potential. Thus asymptotically ($R \geq 4$ fm) OSE and OPE have at the baryon level the same spin-isospin structure than at the quark level. Hence we can parametrize the asymptotic central interactions as (the Λ depending exponential term is negligible asymptotically as compared to the Yukawa term)

$$V_{NN \rightarrow NN^*(1440)}^{OPE}(R) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_{\pi NN}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{g_{\pi NN^*(1440)}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{m_\pi}{2M_N} \frac{m_\pi}{2(2M_r)} \frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 - m_\pi^2} [(\vec{\sigma}_N \cdot \vec{\sigma}_N)(\vec{\tau}_N \cdot \vec{\tau}_N)] \frac{e^{-m_\pi R}}{R}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$V_{NN \rightarrow NN^*(1440)}^{OSE}(R) = -\frac{g_{\sigma NN}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{g_{\sigma NN^*(1440)}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 - m_\sigma^2} \frac{e^{-m_\sigma R}}{R}, \quad (5)$$

where g_i stands for the coupling constants at the baryon level and M_r is the reduced mass of the $NN^*(1440)$ system. By comparing these baryonic potentials with the asymptotic behavior of the OPE and OSE previously obtained from the quark calculation we can extract the $\pi NN^*(1440)$ and $\sigma NN^*(1440)$ coupling constants.

The $\Lambda^2/(\Lambda^2 - m_i^2)$ vertex factor in expressions (4) and (5) comes from the vertex form factor chosen in momentum space as a square root of monopole $\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 + q^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the same choice taken at the quark level, where chiral symmetry requires the same form for pion and sigma. A different choice for the form factor at the baryon level, regarding its functional form as well as the value of Λ , would give rise to a different vertex factor and eventually to a different functional form for the asymptotic behavior. Then, the extraction from any model of the meson-baryon-baryon coupling constants depends on this choice. We shall say they depend on the coupling scheme.

For the OPE and for our value of $\Lambda = 4.2 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, $\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 - m_\pi^2} = 1.0286$, pretty close to 1. As a consequence, in this case the use of our form factor or a modified monopole form at baryonic level makes little difference in the determination of the coupling constant. This fact is used when fixing $\frac{g_{\pi qq}^2}{4\pi}$ from the experimental value of $\frac{g_{\pi NN}^2}{4\pi}$ extracted from NN data. The value we use for $\alpha_{ch} = \frac{m_\pi^2}{4m_q^2} \frac{g_{\pi qq}^2}{4\pi} = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^2 \frac{g_{\pi NN}^2}{4\pi} \frac{m_\pi^2}{4m_N^2} e^{-\frac{m_\pi^2 b^2}{2}} = 0.027$ corresponds to $\frac{g_{\pi NN}^2}{4\pi} = 14.8$.

To get $g_{\pi NN^*(1440)}$ we turn to our numerical results for the 1S_0 OPE potential and fit its asymptotic behavior (in the range $R : 5 \rightarrow 9 \text{ fm}$) to Eq. (4). We obtain

$$\frac{g_{\pi NN}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{g_{\pi NN^*(1440)}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 - m_\pi^2} = -3.73, \quad (6)$$

i.e. $\frac{g_{\pi NN^*(1440)}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} = -0.94$. Let us note that the sign comes out from the arbitrary choice of the overall phase of the $N^*(1440)$ wave function with respect to the N wave function. Hence it is more appropriate to quote the absolute value. Furthermore the coupling scheme dependence can be explicitly eliminated if we compare $g_{\pi NN^*(1440)}$ with $g_{\pi NN}$ extracted from the $NN \rightarrow NN$ potential within the same quark model approximation. Thus we get

$$\left| \frac{g_{\pi NN^*(1440)}}{g_{\pi NN}} \right| = 0.25. \quad (7)$$

The value obtained for this ratio is similar to that obtained in Ref. ⁹ and a factor 1.5 smaller than the one obtained from the analysis of the partial decay

width. By proceeding in the same way for the OSE potential we have

$$\left| \frac{g_{\sigma NN^*(1440)}}{g_{\sigma NN}} \right| = 0.475. \quad (8)$$

This result agrees quite well with the only experimental available result, obtained in Ref.¹⁰ from the fit of the cross section of the isoscalar Roper excitation in $p(\alpha, \alpha')$ in the 10–15 GeV region.

Furthermore, we can give a very definitive prediction of the magnitude and sign of the ratio of the two ratios,

$$\frac{g_{\pi NN^*(1440)}}{g_{\pi NN}} = 0.53 \frac{g_{\sigma NN^*(1440)}}{g_{\sigma NN}}, \quad (9)$$

which is an exportable prediction of our model.

We should finally notice that for dynamical applications our results should be implemented including the $N^*(1440)$ width. Quantum fluctuations of the two baryon center-of-mass, neglected within the Born-Oppenheimer approach, could also play some role. Though these improvements will have a quantitative effect we think, as suggested by the values we get, our predictions will not be very much modified. In this sense they could serve either as a first step for more refined calculations or as a possible guide for phenomenological applications.

Acknowledgments

We thank to E. Oset for suggesting discussions. This work has been partially funded by Junta de Castilla y León under Contract No. SA-109/01, and by EC-RTN, Network ESOP, Contract HPRN-CT-2000-00130.

References

1. H. Garcilazo and E. Moya de Guerra, *Nucl. Phys. A* **562**, 521 (1993).
2. M.T. Peña *et al*, *Phys. Rev. C* **60**, 045201 (1999).
3. L. Alvarez-Ruso, *Phys. Lett. B* **452**, 207 (1999).
4. B.A. Li *et al*, *Phys. Rev. C* **50**, 2675 (1994).
5. F. Fernández *et al*, *J. Phys. G* **19**, 2013 (1993).
6. D.R. Entem *et al*, *Phys. Rev. C* **62**, 034002 (2000).
7. A. Valcarce *et al*, *Phys. Rev. C* **49**, 1799 (1994).
8. K. Holinde, *Nucl. Phys. A* **415**, 477 (1984).
9. D.O. Riska and G.E. Brown, *Nucl. Phys. A* **679**, 577 (2001).
10. S. Hirenzaki *et al*, *Phys. Lett. B* **378**, 29 (1996); *Phys. Rev. C* **53**, 277 (1996).